

## Public Questions to Council – 13 July 2022

### Question 1

**From:** Caroline Shuffrey, Resident

**To:** The Leader of the Council

**Question:** “On December 6th 2021, Cabinet decided to make an experimental traffic order for the South Fulham TCPR West Scheme based on further engagement with a small group of residents standing to benefit from the scheme. This would operate needlessly 24/7, and would mean that there will be no right turn on to Wandsworth Bridge Road for non-local Eastbound vehicles who do not have a visitors permit, causing havoc on other Fulham roads outside the scheme.

Following two fairly acrimonious online meetings earlier this year, set up by a small group of largely anonymous residents, around 4000 people of predominantly borough residents have signed a petition to stop the traffic camera exclusion zone spreading across Fulham without consultation first.

Will the Leader describe what comprehensive consultation with residents and businesses he will direct our Council to carry out across Fulham, to assess their views on the impact of the proposed camera scheme before introducing it?”

### Question 2

**From:** Bill Tomlin, Resident

**To:** The Cabinet Member for Public Realm

**Question:** “Many/all of the borough’s public parks are included in the dog walker scheme.

Hurlingham, Eel Brook Common, South Park and Bishops Park, all situated close to and within walking distance of Parsons Green are included.

Parsons Green appears to have been omitted. There is already an issue with dog fouling on the Green, it’s an ongoing issue. Omission suggests we’ll see the volume of unlicensed dog walkers flocking to Parsons Green to walk the dogs in their care making an existing problem worse.

We have a church, a health centre, a hotel, two pubs and a school standing directly on the Green; this means we have a high volume of school kids, visitors, health centre patients and people out socialising using the Green all day every day - it’s very busy.

What can we do to include Parsons Green in the scheme to avoid the inevitable consequences of omission?”

### **Question 3**

**From:** John Davis, Resident

**To:** The Leader of the Council

**Question:** “The traffic abs pollution on WBR is horrific. Why do you still report that this show the LTN in Sands End is working?”

### **Question 4**

**From:** Nick Walker, Resident

**To:** The Leader of the Council

**Question:** As a long term resident of the borough it is clear that traffic has got much worse in recent years and I want to ask how the council plans to actively reduce traffic to make the borough healthier & safer?

### **Question 5**

**From:** Brian Mooney, Resident

**To:** The Leader of the Council

**Question:** “Dear Councillor Cowan, thank you for your reply to my previous question on 16 Oct 2019, in which you provided assurance that, with the possible exception of Hammersmith Bridge tolls, the Council was against residents being charged to use the roads.

The Mayor of London is running an under-publicised consultation on introducing London-wide road pricing.

Will you publicise this consultation and your opposition to LBH&F residents and make it straightforward for us to submit our objections to this proposal?”

*Link to consultation: <https://haveyoursay.tfl.gov.uk/cleanair>*

### **Question 6**

**From:** Kate Jakobsson, Resident

**To:** The Deputy Leader

**Question:** “After an expansion of mobile phone masts, Hammersmith and Fulham residents are experiencing symptoms such as palpitations, headaches and sleep disruption.

Michael Mansfield QC's Action Against 5G has made the case that the government has failed to properly investigate the health effects from 5G technology or inform the

public on the risks. The courts have accepted the evidence and there will be a full hearing.

As exposure effects are cumulative and the population of transmitting devices in our streets is increasing, will Hammersmith and Fulham Council engage with residents to establish the scale of the problem and identify remedial measures?"

### **Question 7**

**From:** Donald Grant, Resident

**To:** The Cabinet Member for Public Realm

**Question:** "The Leader gallantly admitted during the election campaign that the Council got the parking charge changes wrong and promised to reverse them. Will the Member for Public Realm now admit that the previous Council got the traffic camera scheme to the East of WBRd wrong for the majority of Fulham residents, especially those living and working on Wandsworth Bridge Road, and reverse that too?"

### **Question 8**

**From:** Caroline Brooman-White, Resident

**To:** The Leader of the Council

**Question:** "All the public roads within Fulham have been built and paid for from general taxation. Through traffic is now being sent to the Wandsworth Bridge Road, increasing traffic, noise, pollution, congestion and journey time for residents on the road. What reasons do the Council have to prioritise the health and enjoyment of some residents on some taxpayer funded roads, over the residents and businesses on the Wandsworth Bridge Road?"

### **Question 9**

**From:** Victoria Angell, Resident

**To:** The Cabinet Member for Social Inclusion and Community Safety

**Question:** "I note that H&F Council aims to be the greenest council. It is seeing rising numbers of mobile phone masts, small cells and devices for the internet of things. What steps is it taking to quantify and address the impact of electromagnetic radiation on people in council buildings, and on species such as pollinators and trees so vital to the our environment".